ADMINISTRATION OF SCHOLARSHIP, FELLOWSHIP & EXEMPTION PROGRAMS

I. PURPOSE

This policy statement offers guidance to university personnel concerning their responsibilities for administering scholarship, fellowship, and exemption programs (awards). The university strives to utilize awards to attract students with exceptional academic ability, leadership skills, unique talents, and diverse perspectives that further the university’s mission and strategic goals. These resources motivate students to strive for reaching and maintaining their academic goals and help to provide access to students who demonstrate financial need. These awards are provided to students without requiring them to provide a service in return.

Public confidence in university stewardship of these resources is achieved when the highest ethical standards of impartiality and fairness are maintained through all stages of processing awards and when internal controls operate effectively. The internal control structures for awards depend heavily on the dean or director’s appointment and supervision of the selection committee members and independent reconcilers. Those assigned these duties must effectively discharge their responsibilities according to these directives.

II. DEFINITIONS

Award: cash or an exemption from paying tuition or fees given to a student in recognition of their performance or financial status.

Types of Awards:

- **Scholarship**: awards which may be either a cash award and/or a tuition or fees exemption; frequently given for academic excellence but can be awarded on the basis of need or other criteria as specified

- **Fellowship**: cash awards primarily given for academic excellence on a competitive basis; even though an award is income to the student, it is not a
salary or a wage, because no services are required of the student in order to receive the award. The award represents support to the student as opposed to compensation for services. Fellowship awards are generally taxable income.

Types of award payments:

**Exemption**: an award which allows the recipient to not pay all or some portion of an assessed tuition or fee. The value of an exemption cannot exceed the value of the assessed tuition or fee.

**Cash**: an award which is given to a student by crediting his or her account at the university. The cash award may be the value of tuition or fees or a fixed amount.

**Selection Committee**: the responsible party designated to select students to receive a scholarship based on criteria defined in the originating documents for the award.

**Independent Reconciler**: the responsible party who ensures the funds expended are appropriate in terms of amount and are awarded to the correct recipient; This person should not have access to the awarding system nor should he or she be on the selection committee.

**Authorizing Documentation**: the documentation which establishes the rules and criteria for making the award and is signed by the donor party and LSU.

**Tuition**: a charge to student for instructional services that may be charged per term, per course, or per credit; Tuition does not include student activity or special user fees, the additional charge based on distinctions between resident and nonresident students, special course fees, and fees for other services.

**III. GENERAL POLICY**

LSU administrative units and individuals involved in the administration of scholarships and exemption programs must adhere to the following:

- A. Award programs must be approved by proper authorities.
- B. Documentation of proper approvals of each new award program must be submitted to the university offices designated to establish the infrastructure for processing awards.
- C. Criteria must be established for initial and continuing eligibility that is consistent with the authorizing documentation. These criteria must be clearly defined in published program materials.
- D. The term or duration of an award and the value of an award must be clearly defined in published documents and consistent with the authorizing documentation.
E. The dean or director must assign at least two full-time employees to the selection committee.
F. Private donations for awards are typically restricted to recognizing academic merit. Federal and state laws preclude the use of certain absolute restrictions.
G. An independent reconciler of the university’s accounts must be assigned and supervised by the dean or director to perform reconciliations on a timely basis.

IV. PROCEDURES

The subsections below provide procedural guidance in accordance with the components of the general policy:

A. Approval by Proper Authorities

Awards funded from institutional sources are approved by the Louisiana Legislature, the Louisiana Board of Regents, the LSU Board of Supervisors, or other designated authorities. Awards made from private sources are approved by the LSU Foundation, the LSU Alumni Association, the Tiger Athletic Foundation, and other private entities.

All awards, regardless of the source of funds, must follow the procedures outlined in this policy statement. Proposals for any new award program must be routed by the dean through the Office of Enrollment Management for approval by the Office of Academic Affairs, in consultation with the Office of Finance & Administrative Services. The Office of Academic Affairs will submit the final proposal, if necessary, to the Board of Supervisors through the President & Chancellor. Awards made from sponsored agreements are approved by federal, state, or private sponsors.

B. Documentation of Proper Approvals

In order for an appropriate university account to be established for an award program, all documentation supporting the approved award program must be submitted to the Office of Budget & Planning for unrestricted and auxiliary funds, the Office of Sponsored Program Accounting for sponsored agreements, or the Financial Accounting & Reporting staff in the Office of Accounting Services for endowments and non-sponsored agreement restricted funds. The Office of Budget & Planning, the Office of Sponsored Program Accounting, or the Office of Accounting Services will submit the award program documentation to the Office of Enrollment Management which will notify the department once the award has been fully established.
C. Eligibility Criteria

Initial eligibility criteria are those standards that each recipient must meet to initially qualify for an award. Continuing eligibility criteria are those standards that each recipient must meet on a continuing basis to retain the award for subsequent semesters. Generally, awards are restricted to full-time students.

Documentation of student traits or characteristics, such as leadership, citizenship, and participation in extracurricular activities, should be collected on an award application form, which may list activities, organizations in which the student held office, and other pertinent information. Students may be asked for letters of recommendation from instructors, professors, teachers, counselors, and others, or they may be asked to write an essay. Additional data can be collected utilizing the university’s scholarship profile system.

Documentation of financial need may include completion of the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) available through the Office of Enrollment Management or data collected on an application where, for example, the student attests to personal income, family income, household size, and other relevant information that is descriptive of the student’s base of financial support.

Documentation of eligibility must be retained for all award recipients for five years following the fiscal year in which the award was made and will be subject to audit review. For all eligibility criteria, appropriate documentation must be reviewed by the selection committee and retained. Where compliance with the eligibility criteria is already on file in central university databases, such as grade point averages, the electronic record is sufficient. It is not necessary to create paper documents from these databases when the electronic version is properly secured and available for review.

Each award’s originating documentation (authorizing documentation) must be kept on file within the department or administrative unit and referenced during awarding.

Award program materials must be published through reasonable available means, such as through the General Catalog or departmental web site.

D. Term, Duration, and Value of Award

Award recipients must be advised of the duration for which they can expect to continue receiving an award, subject to their meeting the continuing eligibility criteria and to the availability of funds. Students must also be advised if they can expect to receive the award in a summer semester. No commitments to future awards can be made unless funding is secured. Concerning the value of
exemptions, those funded through unrestricted funds typically include only tuition and exclude required fees. All awards must have an appeals process for loss of the award due to extenuating circumstances. Originating documentation, as well as award letters, must include the duration for which a student is eligible to receive the funding. In general, awards are offered for a maximum of eight semesters. Extensions may be considered for approved five-year degree programs.

**E. Selection Committee**

Scholarship awarding requires the use of a selection committee unless the process is system automated based on established, defined criteria, leaving it free of biases. The committee must include at least two full-time employees. The dean or director typically serves in an oversight role, which includes appointing and instructing selection committee members and ensuring that established directives are followed. All scholarships must be awarded based on criteria listed in the originating documentation. The dean or director may serve on the selection committee as one of its members. Documentation of members comprising the selection committee must be kept with the awarding support documents. It is recommended that scholarships are awarded by April 1 preceding the academic year in which the award will be issued.

**F. Review and Reconciliation of University Accounts**

Reconciliation of the university’s financial award accounts involves reviewing transactions that appear on the university account to determine if those transactions are accurate and whether they are supported by proper documentation, including the written approval of the selection committee members. Reconcilers must be trained to identify and resolve inconsistencies on a timely basis. Should the reconciler find any irregularity, internal auditors should be advised immediately.

Efforts must be made to ensure independence in the reconciliation process. Reconciliations are considered independent when performed by an employee who has no other responsibility or access associated with evaluating applicants for eligibility or processing awards. The minimum requirements of reconciliation involve validating recipient eligibility and contract award amounts, verifying the appropriateness of expenditure funding, and confirming compliance with the university’s policies. Reconciliation must occur at minimum once per semester, and all supporting documentation must be kept on file for audit purposes.

**G. Private Donations**
University personnel who seek private donations to fund awards should be aware that there are constraints on how these funds may be accepted and used. Every reasonable effort should be made to honor a donor’s request for making an award.

Appropriate award restrictions may include academic merit, special talents, financial need, and other characteristics consistent with the university’s mission and goals. Donations should not be accepted that are based solely on such factors as age, disability, gender, marital status, national origin, race, religion, sexual orientation, or veteran’s status. A donor may not name recipients nor participate in the selection process.

University personnel who intend to seek donations for awards should obtain approval of the language for the proposed restrictions from the Office of Academic Affairs or the LSU Foundation, as applicable.

**H. Budget Considerations**

Award programs are administratively and fiscally managed by various administrative units as appropriate.

Before awards are made, it is the responsibility of each awarding authority to assure adequate funding is available within the appropriate award accounts. A budget for each award program must be kept and managed by the department or authorizing agent.