Southeastern Tanzania depositional environments, marine and terrestrial links, and exceptional microfossil preservation in the warm Turonian

Shannon J. Haynes1, Kenneth G. MacLeod1, Brian T. Huber2, Sophie Warny3, Alan J. Kaufman4, Richard D. Pancost5,6, Álvaro Jiménez Berrocoso7, Maria Rose Petrizzo8, David K. Watkins9, and Iadviga Zhelezinskaia4

1Department of Geological Sciences, University of Missouri, 101 Geology Building, Columbia, Missouri 65211, USA
2Department of Paleobiology, MRC 121, Smithsonian Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC 20013, USA
3Department of Geology and Geophysics & Museum of Natural Science, Louisiana State University, E235 Howe Russell, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70820, USA
4Department of Geology and Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742-4211, USA
5Organic Geochemistry Unit, School of Chemistry, The Cabot Institute, University of Bristol, Cantock’s Close BS8 1TS, UK
6Cabot Institute, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1UJ, UK
7Ropsol Services Company, 2455 Technology Forest Boulevard, Building 5, The Woodlands, Texas 77381, USA
8Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra “Ardito Desio,” Università degli Studi di Milano, via Mangiagalli 34, 20133 Milan, Italy
9Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-0340, USA

ABSTRACT

Sediment cores from southeastern Tanzania contain exceptionally well-preserved calcareous and organic-walled microfossils in numerous samples spanning from the Aptian to the Miocene. The unusually high quality of preservation is commonly attributed to shallow burial and high clay content of the host sediments. However, such attributes apply to many deposits that are not characterized by exceptional preservation, and, thus, invoking only grain size and burial depth is clearly an incomplete exploration for the exceptional microfossil preservation. In an attempt to better characterize additional factors that were important in creating the Tanzanian microfossil lagerstätte, we integrated a wide range of paleontological, geochemical, and sedimentological observations to constrain the palaeoenvironmental and early diagenetic conditions of a Turonian interval where exceptional preservation is common. Planktic microfossil assemblages suggest that open-ocean surface-water conditions prevailed at the site, but, despite excellent organic matter preservation, marine biomarkers are rare. The sediments are dominantly composed of terrigenous silts and clays and terrestrially derived organic matter. Paleontological and geochemical observations record a remarkably stable interval dominated by excellent preservation through the Lower–Middle Turonian. During the Middle–Upper Turonian, though, the preservation quality declines, and this is associated with notable shifts in foraminiferal assemblages, palynological species diversity, carbon and sulfur isotope compositions, and biomarker distributions. The integrated data suggest that an increase in subsurface microbial activity and associated changes in pore-water chemistry were important proximate variables that led to the decline in the quality of microfossil preservation up section within these shallowly buried, Turonian silty claystones from Tanzania.

INTRODUCTION

Hemipelagic mudstones from coastal Tanzania are renowned for the excellent preservation of the calcareous microfossils they contain, a characteristic that has facilitated a myriad of paleontological and paleoclimatological studies. Recent paleontological advances include recognition of remarkably diverse calcareous microfossil assemblages presented in detailed taxonomic papers on calcareous nanofossils as well as planktic and benthic foraminifera. These studies have resulted in the revision of phylogenetic histories and deeper insights into the paleoecology of calcareous microfossils (Lees, 2007; Bown et al., 2008, 2014; Wendler et al., 2011, 2013, 2016; Falzoni et al., 2013; Pearson and Coxall, 2014; Huber and Petrizzo, 2014; Haynes et al., 2015; Lees and Bown, 2016). For paleoclimatological studies, the exceptional preservation reduces uncertainty related to potential diagenetic overprinting of isotope compositions and biomarker distributions. In turn, this increases our confidence in arguments about greenhouse climates, including studies concluding that the cool tropics paradox was a preservational artifact (Pearson et al., 2001) and that the Turonian was effectively ice free (MacLeod et al., 2013).

The high quality of microfossil preservation has generally been attributed to the tests being buried at relatively shallow depths in clay-dominated sediments (e.g., Pearson et al., 2001; Bown et al., 2008, 2014; Dunkley Jones et al., 2009; Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2010, 2012, 2015). However, there are many sites that preserve shallowly buried, fine-grained sediments that do not contain exceptionally well-preserved microfossils. Even within the Lindi region of Tanzania, preservation quality varies within facies and among sites that had almost identical burial histories and sediment compositions. For example, Santonian–middle Campanian (ca. 86–74 Ma) clay-rich rocks are well represented in recovered cores from Tanzania, but excellent preservation has not yet been found for this interval, even though both younger and older intervals do exhibit exceptional preservation (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2010, 2012, 2015). On much shorter time scales, specimens that are exceptionally well preserved often co-occur with those that are more poorly preserved.
within washed residues of individual samples. Thus, clay-dominated sediments and shallow burial are incomplete explanations, even locally, for the taphonomic conditions that led to exceptional preservation in many intervals from the Aptian through the Miocene in Tanzania.

This integrative study presents Turonian (ca. 94–90 Ma) palaeontological (foraminifera, calcareous nanoflankton, pollen, spores, and dinoflagellates), sedimentological, and geochemical (organic carbon isotopes, carbonate carbon and oxygen isotopes, sulfur isotopes, and biomarker distributions) data with the goal of characterizing the depositional and diagenetic environment associated with lagerstätte (i.e., exceptionally good) foraminiferal preservation. Better understanding of the depositional environment, including inferences about conditions in nearby terrestrial areas, will provide constraints for palaeoclimatic interpretations of isotopic results and will help make generalizations concerning the taphonomic pathways that promote exceptional microfossil preservation.

**METHODS**

An integrative approach was taken to examine the Turonian marine depositional environments in southeastern Tanzania, with analyses focused on samples from Tanzania Drilling Project (TDP) Site 31 (10°1′49.80″S, 39°38′44.00″E), and augmented by additional observations reported for nearby Sites 22 (10°4′9.20″S, 39°37′50.50″E) and 36 (10°1′45.36″S, 39°38′12.42″E). Excellent microfossil preservation is present through most of the core recovered from Site 31, which is located 8 km southwest of Lindi (Figs. 1 and 2). Recovered material from Site 31 belongs to the Lindi Formation (Upper Alban to Coniacian; Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2015) and spans the Helvetoglobotruncana helvetica, Marginotruncana schneegansi, and the lower Dicarinella concavata zones. Similar to all TDP sites that recovered Turonian material, Site 31 is dominated by laminated to thinly bedded mudstones with little evidence for bioturbation. Pyritized burrows have been documented throughout Site 31, but their abundance, which is based on qualitative observations, is described as rare or absent throughout most of the section (Wendler et al., 2016). Massive, fine-grained sandstones are a minor component of the lithology, and restricted occurrences of soft-sediment deformation are present (Fig. 3; Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012). Site 31 is particularly attractive for study of preservation because foraminiferal assemblages are well documented, species-specific isotopic patterns are well constrained by a large data set, preservation is excellent through most of the section, and depositional conditions appear to have been quite stable for long intervals (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012, 2015; Wendler et al., 2013, 2016; Huber and Petrizzo, 2014; MacLeod et al., 2013; Huber et al., 2017). This site is also of interest because stratigraphic changes in preservation provide a natural taphonomic experiment. In contrast to the excellent microfossil preservation throughout most of the lower 86 m of the section, preservation declines markedly above 28 m depth (Fig. 4).

Data discussed here include published results on sedimentological descriptions, planktic and benthic foraminiferal distributions, carbon and oxygen isotopes of bulk carbonates, bulk organic carbon isotopes, carbon and oxygen isotopes derived from planktic and benthic foraminifera, high-resolution grain-size analyses, and calcareous nanofossil distributions (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2010, 2012, 2015; MacLeod et al., 2013; Huber and Petrizzo, 2014; Haynes et al., 2015; Wendler et al., 2016; Huber et al., 2017). New data on hydrocarbon biomarker compositions at Sites 22, 31, and 36, palynomorph assemblages at Sites 31 and 36, and sulfur abundance and isotope compositions at Site 31 are also reported and integrated to provide a detailed characterization of the Turonian succession.

**Biomarkers**

**Extractions**

Solvent extractions and analysis of lipid compositions on a gas chromatograph (GC) and gas chromatograph–mass spectrometer (GC-MS) were done at the University of Bristol following methods of van Dongen et al. (2006). To obtain organic carbon compounds from bulk sediments, ~4 g of material were finely powdered and then sequentially extracted (6x) using dichloromethane (DCM) and methanol (MeOH) mixtures in ratios of 1:0, 1:0, 1:1, 1:1, 0:1, and 0:1. Apolar and polar fractions were separated using flash column alumina chromatography with n-hexane:DCM (9:1) and DCM:MeOH (1:1) as eluents, respectively. Within 24 h of analysis, polar fractions were derivatized by adding 25 μL of N,N-bis(trimethylsilyl) triurooctadecamride (BSTFA, Sigma-Aldrich) and 25 μL of pyridine, followed by heating at 70 °C for 1 h.

Samples were analyzed using a Hewlett-Packard 5890 series II GC equipped with a flame ionization detector and a Phenomenex Zebron ZB-1 fused silica column (length = 60 m, inner diameter [ID] = 0.32 mm, film thickness [FT] = 0.1 μm). Hydrogen was used as the carrier gas. The temperature program ramped up from 70 °C to 130 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min, then from 130 °C to 300 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min. Temperature was then held constant at 300 °C for 25 min. The same temperature program was used.

---

**Figure 1.** Map of study region in southeast Tanzania, modified from Jiménez Berrocoso et al. (2010). TDP—Tanzania Drilling Project.
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AgNO₃ plus 0.167 M gas and bubbled through 20 mL of a 0.026 M were mounted on microscope slides with glycerine jelly. A minimum of 300 palynomorphs were counted per sample. Palynomorph concentrations in delta notation (δ) as per mil (‰) deviations from the Vienna Canyon Diablo Troilite (VCDT) standard. Two NBS-127 barite standards and two International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) NZ1 silver sulfide standards were measured between every 10 samples for drift and offset corrections. Uncertainties for each analytical session based on these standard analyses were determined to be better than 0.3‰.

RESULTS AND INFERENCES

Here, we present new data and summarize published observations; each subsection describes the general implications for the palynological, geochemical, and sedimentological observations individually. The subsequent discussion section integrates the combined observations to provide a descriptive model of Turonian deposition in southeast Tanzania, with particular emphasis on aspects of the depositional and diagenetic environment that resulted in the microfossil lagerstätte.

Sulfur Abundance and Isotope Compositions

Total sulfur in bulk powders was analyzed initially by elemental analysis combustion techniques, but low overall sulfur contents in the core required that most samples be extracted using a chemical reduction method that targeted elemental and pyrite-bound sulfur. In this technique, 0.5–3 g aliquots of powdered material were weighed and reacted with 0.5 M HCl and 20 mL of a chromium reducing solution (Canfield et al., 1986) for 3.5 hours, producing gaseous H₂S that was carried in a stream of N₂ gas and bubbled through 20 mL of a 0.026 M AgNO₃ plus 0.167 M HNO₃ capture solution to precipitate S as Ag₂S. The black precipitates were aged in the dark for a week and then washed with 15 mL of 1 M NH₄OH and ultra-pure Milli-Q water, dried overnight in an 80 °C oven, and then weighed. The purified Ag₂S yields were used to calculate the pyrite abundance in the bulk samples.

This level of preservation is typical of specimens throughout the lower 86 m of Site 31 (cores 19–64; Fig. 4), but not all specimens are so well preserved. Specimens infilled with diagenetic calcite are present between 95 and 105 m, 75 and 80 m, and 30 and 40 m (Wendler et al., 2016), and evidence of dissolution in some specimens becomes more common above ~54 m. Preservation quality dramatically declines above ~28 m, between the top of core 19 at 28.1 m and the bottom of core 18 at 27.3 m, with specimens being largely infilled and commonly recrystallized (Fig. 4).

Paleontological Observations

Foraminifera

The planktic foraminiferal assemblage suggests a fully marine and mostly oligotrophic environment, given its high diversity (>25 taxa; Huber et al., 2017) and the fact that many of the species present are common in core. The assemblage is characterized by abundant planktic foraminifera, which are commonly used to subdivide the standard zonal schemes applied to low-latitude deep-sea sequences (Fig. 5A; Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2010, 2012, 2015; Huber et al., 2017). However, the Tanzanian assemblages differ from those typically found in pelagic carbonate sediments in that there are consistent occurrences of small morphotypes, which either represent ecophenotypes (of several species) or which should be described as new species. For example, the species Praeglobotruncana stephani only occurs as diminutive (“dwarfed”) morphotypes above 45 m (core 27). Restriction of these small morphotypes to shallower-water settings is suggested by their absence from coeval deep-sea samples, but confirmation of this requires their identification in similar deposits elsewhere. Alternatively, the presence of small morphotypes may simply reflect the high quality of preservation as more fragile tests might be lost elsewhere due to dissolution and fragmentation.

Preservation

The excellent preservation of foraminifera and other calcareous microfossils at TDP Site 31 is well documented (e.g., Wendler et al., 2011, 2013, 2016; MacLeod et al., 2013; Wendler and Bown, 2013; Huber and Petrizzo, 2014). Palynomorphs extracted from these sections are some of the best preserved from Late Cretaceous strata worldwide, and they far surpass preservation in equivalent strata from Colombia and Canada (e.g., Sweet and McIntyre, 1988; Garzon et al., 2012; Akyuz et al., 2015). High-magnification scanning electron microscope images and multifocus light microscope images of calcareous and organic-walled microfossils illustrate the remarkable preservation of wall microstructure with no evidence of recrystallization or overgrowth of diagenetic calcite down to a submicron scale and no oxidation of the organic fossils (Fig. 2).
zone (Fig. 5B). The lower shift marks an interval of foraminiferal turnover. Three species (*Helvetoglobotruncana praehelvetica* [Trujillo] 1960, *Dicarinella hagni* [Scheibnerová] 1962, and *Whiteinella aprica* [Loeblich and Tappan] 1961) have highest occurrences in the same sample as the highest occurrence of *H. helvetica* at ~42 m (between cores 26 and 25; Fig. 5B). This boundary interval, marked by the extinction of *H. helvetica*, also correlates with a decrease in size of specimens belonging to the species *Praeglobotruncana stephani* (Gandolfi, 1942). Just above and below the extinction level

Figure 2. Examples of exceptionally well-preserved material from Tanzania Drilling Project (TDP) Site 31. (A) X-ray photomicrograph of *Planoheterohelix paraglobulosa* from sample TDP 31-44-1, 22–42 cm, showing the absence of infilling in well-preserved specimens. (B) X-ray photomicrograph of *Planoheterohelix globulosa* from sample TDP 31-25-1, 77–81 cm, close-up scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of test wall microstructure showing little to no recrystallization of test wall. (C–F) Light photomicrographs all at identical scale: (C) Example of a common dinoflagellate cyst, *Dinogymnium* sp.; (D, F) examples from the *Ephedrites* group; and (E) angiosperm pollen grain, *Hexaporotricholpites* sp.

Figure 3. Photos of drilled cores from Tanzania Drilling Project (TDP) Site 31; numbers above the images indicate the core numbers. Core photos were previously published in Wendler et al. (2016).
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Figure 4. Summary of major paleobiological and geochemical changes at Tanzania Drilling Project (TDP) Site 31. Lower dark-gray panel includes changes between the bottom of the hole up to ~45 m, middle-gray panel includes changes across the interval between 45 m and 28 m, and the top light-gray panel includes changes occurring at 28 m. Abbreviations are as follows: foraminifera, TOC—total organic carbon content, L.O.—low occurrence, H.O.—highest occurrence, nanno—calcareous nannofossils, and St/H—diasterene/hopane ratios. Plus (+) indicates changes in benthic foraminiferal assemblages from Wendler et al. (2016); pound symbol (#) indicates bulk carbonate and TOC data from Jiménez Berrocoso et al. (2012). Abbreviations are as follows: foram(s)—foraminifera, TOC—total organic carbon content, % carbonate, % S, δ13C values, δ18O values of planktic and benthic forams, δ13Ccarb values, δ34S values, bulk δ13C and δ18O values of planktic or benthic foraminifera.

(i.e., between 45 and 41 m; Fig. 5B), we find the lowest occurrences of seven planktic species, including four species of Marginotruncana (Marginotruncana angusticarinata [Gandolfi] 1942, Marginotruncana caronae, Peryt 1980, Marginotruncana pseudolimneiana, Pessagno 1967, and Marginotruncana sinuosa, Porthault 1970) and three species of biserial planktic foraminifera (Laeviheterohelix reniformis [Marie] 1941, Planoheterohelix reussi [Cushman] 1938, and Planoheterohelix praenuttalli Haynes et al. 2015 [Haynes et al., 2015]). The upper shift at ~28 m (Fig. 5B) does not coincide with any range terminations among foraminifera and correlates with the lowest occurrence of only one planktic species, Marginotruncana undulata (Lehmann) 1963. This level is notable, however, because above 28 m, there is a dramatic increase in the total number of foraminifera, as well as an increase in the relative abundance of biserial planktic foraminifera (Figs. 5A and 5B). The volume of sediment processed for each foraminiferal sample was ~1500 cm³. On average, each sample below 28 m contained ~3500 foraminifera (± ~2 foraminifera/cm³), whereas above 28 m, each sample contained ~24,000 (± ~16 foraminifera/cm³). The relative abundance of biserials increased from ~10% to ~50% of the planktic assemblage, or ~4% to ~35% of the total foraminiferal abundance, over the same interval (Fig. 5A). The abrupt increase in percentage of biserials is not an artifact of diminished numbers of other planktic foraminifera because all foraminiferal taxa (planktic and benthic) are more abundant in the upper interval of Site 31. In other words, absolute abun-
dance of biserial taxa increases by $60\times$, while other taxa increase in abundance by $4\times$ across the 28 m horizon (Fig. 5A).

Differences in the relative proportions among and between planktic and benthic taxa in stratigraphically ordered fossil assemblages can reflect variations in one or more paleoenvironmental factors, including paleodepth, water chemistry, nutrient abundances, surface-water productivity, and the depth of the lysocline (Gibson, 1989). Although the relative abundance of planktic foraminifera varies throughout the Turonian at Site 31, we argue the shifts at ~42 m (between 45 and 41 m) and 28 m are the ones most likely to indicate factors of paleoenvironmental significance. Based on planktic percentage values, where planktic percentage $= (\text{number of planktics/total number of foraminifera}) \times 100$, the record from the bottom of the borehole to the onset of the planktic foraminiferal turnover at 45 m yields planktic percentages that average 47% ($\pm 13\%$, 1 standard deviation [SD]); between 45 m and 28 m, the planktic percentages decrease slightly to 36% ($\pm 10\%$, 1 SD); and in the upper interval, with poor preservation (above 28 m), the planktic percentages increase to 72% ($\pm 11\%$, 1 SD; Fig. 5A). Based on comparison with data sets for modern foraminiferal distributions (Gibson, 1989), the planktic percentages at Site 31 are consistent with deposition at outer-shelf to upper-slope paleodepths (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012, 2015; Wendler et al., 2016). The shift to lower abundances of planktic foraminifera in the middle interval (between 45 m and 28 m; Fig. 4) could have been caused by a drop in relative sea level, a decrease in planktic foraminiferal productivity, or an increase in benthic foraminiferal productivity, whereas the shift to higher planktic abundances in the upper interval could represent an increase in relative sea level, a major increase in planktic foraminiferal productivity, or a major decrease in benthic foraminiferal productivity.

Benthic foraminifera at Site 31 are diverse and include taxa that are typical of deposition at upper-bathyal to outer-neritic paleodepths (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012; Wendler et al., 2011, 2013, 2016). Species of benthic foraminifera such as *Tappanina lacinosa* that typically indicate low-oxygen conditions are rare to absent throughout the section, suggesting consistently oxygenated bottom waters (see fig. 5 in Wendler...
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et al., 2016). According to Wendler et al. (2016),
the benthic foraminiferal population below 45 m
is largely dominated by species belonging to
the genera Ammodiscus, Berthelina, Ceratobu-
limina, Colonia, Epistomina, Glomospira, and
Lingulogavelinella; above 28 m, it is largely
dominated by species belonging to the genera
Allomorphina, Dentalina, Dorothis, Frondicu-
laria, Gaudryina, Gavelinella, Gyroidinoides,
Nodosaria, Pleuromorphina, Quadrimorphina,
Ramulina, Reussella, Spiroplectammina, and
Stensioeina. A decrease in the assemblage typi-
cal of older samples occurs at ~45 m and is mir-
rored by a gradual replacement of those more
prominent in the upper portion of the section,
with an abrupt increase in the younger assem-
bblage at 28 m. Wendler et al. (2016) primarily
attributed the shifts at 45 m and 28 m to drops
in sea level, but changes in nutrient availability
(causing increased surface productivity) and
physicochemical conditions of the bottom
and surface waters could also explain the shifts.

Calcareous Nannofossils

The detailed biostratigraphy for the calcare-
ous nannofossils from the Turonian TDP sites is
presented in Huber et al. (2017). A summary of
their results that pertain to Site 31 is presented
here. Biostratigraphic assignments follow the
Cretaceous Coccolith (CC) zonation of Perch-
Nielsen (1985) and the Upper Cretaceous (UC)
zonation of Burnett (1998).

Calcareous nannofossils are well preserved
and generally abundant in TDP Site 31 material,
with only a small number of samples exhibit-
ing moderate preservation. For the most part,
the composition and structure of the nannofossil
assemblage are comparable with coeval assem-
bblages from open-ocean sites with high diversity.
Samples from cores 36–64 (59–115 m) contain
nannofossil assemblages that include Quadrum

Figure 5 (continued).
The presence of *E. moratus* in the basal sample indicates that the lowermost Turonian is not represented in the recovered interval. Samples from cores 35–19 (~28–58 m) are characterized by nannofossils that include *Eiffellithus eximius* (sensu Verbeek, 1977), but lack *Marthasterites furcatus*, indicating zone CC12 (= UC8) of the uppermost Lower to lower Upper Turonian. Nannofossil assemblages through this interval generally exhibit normal, open-ocean character and structure.

A significant turnover of nannofossil species occurs at ~28 m (between cores 18 and 19; Fig. 6). Core 19 contains the last appearances of *Eprolithus moratus*, *Helicolithus turonicus*, *Liliasterites atlanticus*, and *Lithastrinus septenarius*, whereas core 18 includes the first appearances for *Lithastrinus septenarius*, *Marthasterites furcatus*, *Reinhardtites biperforatus*, and *Eiffellithus eximius* (s.s.). The termination of the stratigraphic ranges in core 19, and the co-occurrence of the first appearances in core 18 suggest that there is a hiatus between cores 19 and 18. Current calibration (Ogg and Gradstein, 2015) of the lowest occurrences of *R. biperforatus* and *M. furcatus* indicates that the missing time is ~0.4 m.y., but the quantitative biostratigraphy of Corbett et al. (2014) shows that these first appearance events are closely spaced in the late Turonian, and there are no corresponding range terminations among planktic foraminifera. Thus, the estimate of ~0.4 m.y. is considered to be a maximum duration for the hiatus.

Samples from 28 m to the top of the hole (cores 1–18) contain *M. furcatus* and other species typical of Upper Turonian zone CC13 (= UC9; Fig. 6). Diversity is generally high, and the structure of the assemblages suggests a continuation of open-ocean surface-water conditions. The rare occurrence of forms transitional to *Micula cubiformis* between ~5 and 8 m and the absence of *Micula staurophora*, which is used to denote the base of zone CC14 and is a proxy for the Turonian-Coniacian boundary, indicate that the samples were deposited close to, but still below, the Coniacian Stage.

**Palynomorphs**

The palynological assemblage is dominated by terrestrial taxa that preferred warm, arid environments. Approximately 90% of the...
assemblage is composed of spores and pollen from land plants and is strongly dominated by gymnosperms (Figs. 7A and 7B). The most common genera are Classopolis, Ephedrites, and Exesipollenites (Fig. 7B). The high relative abundance of terrestrial palynomorphs indicates that continental sources dominated the organic microfossil budget, with only minor contributions from marine dinoflagellates.

The terrestrially derived pollen and spores present in the sediments provide a means of characterizing contemporary environmental conditions on the nearby continent. Classopolis, possibly a member of the family Cheirolepidiaceae (Srivastava, 1976; Kürschner et al., 2013), is a pollen grain produced by an extinct conifer that lived in coastal regions. It has been proposed to be indicative of warm, dry conditions and seemed to favor well-drained soils of upland slopes and of lowlands (Srivastava, 1976). Ephedrites has also been suggested to be an indicator of aridity (Schrank, 2010), based on a presumed association with Ephedra, a prominent member of the floral groups living in arid to semi-arid regions of the southwestern United States (Price, 1996). Exesipollenites has been proposed to derive from an extinct conifer that has been associated with arid climates (Li et al., 2015), and its abundance increases at ~50 m.

Arid conditions throughout the early and middle Turonian are also supported by the rarity of bryophytes and pteridophytes throughout the Helvetoglobotruncana helvetica zone at Site 31 (Fig. 7B). Because their reproduction is dependent on the presence of standing water, at least seasonally, the presence of these types of spores is usually indicative of humid conditions (Meyer, 1995). Interestingly, there is an increase in the abundance of bryophyte and pteridophyte spores above 45 m (Fig. 7B). Samples from this upper interval all continue to contain indicators of aridity, but the increase in bryophytes and pteridophytes suggests a regional increase in humidity during the late Turonian. Indicators of aridity correlated with plants dependent on wet events for reproduction may indicate an increase in episodic and/or intense precipitation and runoff in the late Turonian similar to what has been inferred for Tanzanian sediments deposited during warm Eocene intervals (Handley et al., 2012).

The marine palynomorphs that are present provide few constraints on paleoenvironmental

---

**Figure 7. Tanzania Drilling Project (TDP) Site 31 palynomorph distributions.** (A) Percent terrestrial palynomorphs relative to total palynomorphs. (B) Concentration of major palynomorph genera per gram of dried sediment. Abbreviations are as follows: Pteri—pteridophytes, Bryo.—bryophytes, H.—Helvetoglobotruncana, M. schnee.—Marginotruncana schnee, and D. c.—Dicarinella concavata, CC.—Cretaceous Coccolith zonation of Perch-Nielsen (1985), and UC—Upper Cretaceous zonation.
interpretations. Throughout Site 31, several prominent genera of marine dinoflagellate cysts and acritarchs are present, including *Florentinia*, *Spiniferites*, and *Veryhachium* (Fig. 7B). *Spiniferites* has been documented over a broad range of conditions, ranging from littoral to open-marine environments; little is known about the environmental preferences of either *Florentinia* or *Veryhachium*. Dinoflagellates are relatively common in samples from 95.1 m and from 73.3 m (Fig. 7B), but as sampling resolution is low, it is unclear whether occurrences at these levels reflect episodic variability within the lower portion of the section or sampling biases. A third increase in the relative abundance of marine taxa occurs above ~34 m (between cores 20 and 24; Fig. 7B), a taxon that is associated with estuarine settings, the inner shelf, or coastal waters (Garzon et al., 2012).

**Geochemical Observations**

Taking into consideration the marked paleontological and taphonomic transitions recorded at 28 m (between cores 18 and 19) and 45 m (between cores 26 and 27) at Site 31, the bulk geochemical data are binned into intervals I, II, and III, representing samples from below, between, and above these levels, respectively (Figs. 4 and 8). Average values for the abundance and isotopic composition of carbonate (δ¹³C carb and δ¹⁸O carb), organic carbon (δ¹³C org), and elemental and pyrite-bound sulfur (δ³⁴S pyr and δ³⁴S pyr) are presented in Table 1 (complete table of sulfur isotope and abundance data is given in Supplementary Table DR3 [see footnote 1]).

**Bulk Carbonate, Organic Carbon, and Sulfur Abundances and Isotope Compositions**

The abundance of carbonate in bulk samples from TDP Site 31 ranges from ~5% to 25%, and, on average, samples with the highest abundances are from the upper interval (III). Total organic carbon (TOC) content varies little within the lower 60 m of interval I (avg. I = 1.10%), but then it declines up section at the top of interval I and through interval II (avg. II = 0.84%), and then dramatically drops in interval III (avg. III = 0.26%; Fig. 8; Table 1). Coincident with the overall trend in TOC, bulk δ¹³C carb, δ¹⁸O carb, and δ¹⁴C org values decrease, especially the carbon isotope compositions (Fig. 8; Table 1).

It is important to note that none of the shifts in δ¹³C carb and δ¹³C org values throughout the section appears to be stratigraphically consistent and of comparable magnitude to those described for pelagic sequences such as the English Chalk (Jarvis et al., 2006, 2011). The Navigation Event originally described by Jarvis et al. (2006) could be stratigraphically correlated with a decrease in bulk δ¹³C carb and δ¹³C org values in interval III (Wendler et al., 2016; Fig. 8). However, the magnitude of the δ¹³C carb excursion at TDP Site 31 is almost 10x larger than previously observed (decreases by ~4‰ at TDP 31 [Fig. 8] vs. ...
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the 0.4‰ decrease in the English Chalk [Jarvis et al., 2006]).

The sulfur abundance in all samples from Site 31 is remarkably low for fine-grained marine sediments. Although the highest sulfur concentrations are recorded in several samples from interval III, there is no statistical difference in the sulfur contents among the three intervals (Fig. 8). While the sulfur isotope compositions of samples throughout the recovered section are highly variable (ranging from −30‰ to +35‰), there is a general up-section increase in δ34S values, with maximum values at ~60 m (Fig. 8).

Above this level, δ34S values generally decline through the top of interval I and through intervals II and III. Due to the large amount of scatter in the δ34S data, a Welch t-test (assumes normal distribution and unequal variances between the two populations) was performed and shows that the average values in interval I are significantly different (p-value = 0.0113, two-tailed test) than those in the overlying intervals (I, II and III combined). A Mann-Whitney U-test confirms this conclusion by finding a significant difference in median δ34S values between interval I and the overlying intervals (p-value = 0.004).

The low δ34S values observed in intervals II and III along with the similar shifts observed in the bulk carbonate and organic carbon isotopic measurements are consistent with a more open pore-water system, resulting in sulfate being more extensively used as an oxidant within the sediment column during deposition of intervals II and III. This activity would have resulted in the utilization of a higher proportion of the organic matter and is associated with decreased quality of foraminiferal preservation, particularly infilling by 13C-enriched authigenic carbonate. A likely overriding control on these changes (including the change in preservation) is the availability of sulfate in pore fluids. Sulfate is the second most abundant anion in modern seawater (28 mM) and is a critical oxidant for the remineralization of organic matter in shallow marine sediments [Kasten and Jorgensen, 2000].

Relative to the modern ocean, Cretaceous seawater is thought to have had lower sulfate concentrations (~10–15 mM; Horita et al., 2002; Lowenstein et al., 2003; Berner, 2004). Given that sulfate reducers are obligate anaerobes, anoxic conditions and the availability of suitable organic substrates are required to drive their reductive metabolic activities. The balanced redox reaction may be written as:

\[4\text{CH}_4 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{S} + 2\text{H}^+\]

This microbial process releases alkalinity, acidity, and hydrogen sulfide to pore fluids, with the sulfur in the H2S typically being depleted in 34S (McFadden and Kelly, 2011). The magnitude of fractionation is dependent on factors that control the rate of reduction, including temperature and the availability of sulfate and/or a source of electrons (i.e., organic matter; Brunner and Barnasconi, 2005; Canfield and Farquhar, 2009; Leavitt et al., 2013; Zhelezinskaiia et al., 2014). Experiments on modern sulfate reducers (Johnston et al., 2007) show that the magnitude of sulfur isotope fractionation by bacterial sulfate reducers reflects environmental conditions that affect the transport of sulfate into and out of the cells. It has been argued that large fractionations would not be preserved unless pore-water sulfate concentrations were higher than 200 µM (Habicht et al., 2002), and Fe2+ was available for the formation of insoluble pyrite (Berner and Raiswell, 1983). This threshold now appears to be an upper limit, as recent observations of modern meromictic lakes (Gomes and Hurtgen, 2013) with SO42− as low as 25–100 µM indicate that isotopic fractionation of more than 20‰ is possible.

For the relatively organic-rich lower interval (I) of Site 31, it seems most likely that the up-section increase in δ34S recorded in pyrite was a function of diffusive sulfate limitation in rapidly accumulating and finely laminated sediments (Zhelezinskaiia et al., 2014). Given the relatively high TOC contents (~1%), it is likely that growth rates among sulfate reducers were rapid, and, thus, sulfate was effectively consumed in pore fluids, minimizing the magnitude of sulfur isotope fractionation (Leavitt et al., 2013). The up-section decrease in δ34S values through the upper two intervals (II and III), however, suggests that sulfate became more widely available in pore fluids. Such a change could have been caused by increased connectivity with the seawater reservoir due to lower sedimentation rates (Huber et al., 2017), or an increase in grain size toward the top of the section (Fig. DR4 [see footnote 1]; Wendler et al., 2016). Additionally, or alternatively, increased connectivity between pore fluids and the overlying seawater reservoir could have reflected an increase in the degree of bioturbation, which is inferred from a shift from generally laminated to more massive fabrics above 28 m (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012; Wendler et al., 2016). However, there does not appear to be any increase in the relative abundance of pyritized burrows above 28 m (Wendler et al., 2016).

Greater sulfate availability would enhance the rate of organic matter remineralization by microbial sulfate reducers. Geochemical models of microbial sulfate reduction (Meister, 2013) indicate that the onset of this metabolic strategy initially lowers pH, which would favor dissolution of carbonate rather than its production; however, as the process continues, pH is stabilized, and saturation increases with the buildup of carbonate alkalinity (Moore et al., 2004), resulting in the precipitation of authigenic carbonate minerals (Schrag et al., 2013).

Foraminiferal Isotopes

Stable isotopic measurements of foraminiferal tests indicate remarkably stable and very warm conditions, assuming an open-ocean environment with an ice-free-appropriate oxygen isotopic value of local surface waters of ~1‰SMOW (where SMOW is standard mean ocean water; Fig. DR4 [see footnote 1]; MacLeod et al., 2013). High-resolution δ18O and δ13C measurements of planktic and benthic foraminifera show little variability throughout the early-middle Turonian and suggest an environment with a moderate thermal gradient between waters at the sea surface and the seafloor (Fig. DR4 [see footnote 1]; MacLeod et al., 2013). A decrease in δ18O values (~0.5‰) of both planktic and benthic foraminifera starts at ~54 m (in core 32) and suggests warming or freshening of surface and deep water at that time. The shift is correlated with an ~1.5‰ decrease in δ13C values of planktic and benthic foraminifera, suggesting a parallel decrease in the δ13C values of the dissolved inorganic carbon pool (Fig. DR4 [see footnote 1]).

Biserial planktic foraminifera consistently exhibit both lower δ18O values and lower δ13C values than co-occurring non-biserial planktic species (Fig. DR4 [see footnote 1]; MacLeod et al., 2013). This separation could indicate

---

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF TANZANIAN DRILLING PROJECT (TDP) SITE 31 BULK CARBONATE, ORGANIC CARBON, AND SULFUR ABUNDANCES AND ISOTOPIC COMPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unit I</th>
<th>Unit II</th>
<th>Unit III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% carbonate</td>
<td>16.60 ± 5.64</td>
<td>10.35 ± 3.45</td>
<td>13.72 ± 5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% TOC</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% S</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ13Corg (%o)</td>
<td>−3.99 ± 3.05</td>
<td>−0.80 ± 2.10</td>
<td>−1.35 ± 2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ34Sorg (%o)</td>
<td>−2.85 ± 0.53</td>
<td>−3.48 ± 0.51</td>
<td>−3.85 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ34Sres (%o)</td>
<td>−22.98 ± 0.88</td>
<td>−22.43 ± 0.70</td>
<td>−22.11 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ13C (‰)</td>
<td>−25.12 ± 1.17</td>
<td>−22.98 ± 0.88</td>
<td>−22.43 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ34S (‰)</td>
<td>−8.95 ± 0.14</td>
<td>−9.00 ± 11.34</td>
<td>−3.67 ± 14.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC (‰)</td>
<td>−18.11 ± 9.48</td>
<td>−5.47 ± 14.03</td>
<td>−5.64 ± 14.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Average values are reported followed by ±1 standard deviation. TOC—total organic carbon; TS—total elemental sulfur; pyr—pyrite-bound sulfur.
vertical and/or seasonal ecological segregation of biserial taxa within the water column. Alternatively, the isotopic differences could indicate spatial segregation, with the biserials living in more coastal environments, but being episodically transported to Site 31 by storm events, eddies created by differential motion across the boundary between an offshore and onshore water mass, or lateral migration of the coastal/offshore water boundary due to sea-level, climatic, or stochastic weather events (Fig. 9). In the discussion, we explore the latter two possibilities, but we note that between ~54 m and 28 m, isotopic ratios among all taxa measured shift toward lower δ13C and lower δ18O values. The magnitude of the decrease in δ18O values of the biserial taxa (~0.5‰) is similar to that of the benthic foraminifera and trochospiral planktic foraminifera, but the shift in δ13C values of biserial planktic foraminifera (~3‰) is much greater than that of the co-occurring taxa (~1.5‰; MacLeod et al., 2013). This observation supports the likelihood that the biserials were spatially segregated from other planktic taxa.

Shifts in bulk organic and carbonate δ13C values are consistent with those measured on individual planktic and benthic foraminiferal species (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012). Both bulk δ13Ccarb values and δ13Corg values show small variations throughout the lower portion of the section but gradually decrease between ~54 m and ~28 m by 3‰ and 1‰, respectively (Fig. 8). Above 28 m, bulk δ13Ccarb values abruptly decrease by almost 3‰, and δ13Corg values decrease by ~2‰. Total organic carbon contents show a similar pattern and gradually decrease from ~1.1% to ~0.8% across the interval of the first shift in δ13C values, and they drop abruptly to ~0.3% at ~28 m (Fig. 8; Table 1; Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012). Together, these observations could reflect a gradual increase up section in the extent of subsurface remineralization of organic matter, especially above 28 m, with the correlated shift in δ13Corg values perhaps reflecting a change in the sources of organic matter. Invoking increased remineralization up section corresponds well with the model proposed earlier to explain stratigraphic patterns in δ18O values.

**Biomarkers**

Similar to the palynomorph assemblage and consistent with previous findings involving Eocene sediments from Tanzania (Pearson et al., 2004; van Dongen et al., 2006), biomarkers are well preserved and suggest dominantly terrestrial sources for preserved organic matter. Long-chained n-alkanes (i.e., ≥C25-n-alkanes) with an odd-to-even predominance (OEP) are the most abundant biomarkers found (Figs. 10 and 11; Table DR5 [see footnote 1]). Other common constituents include the C29-C32 17β(H),21β(H)-hopanes, C29 olean-12-ene, C29 olean-12-ene (or taraxerene), and the C27-C29 10α-diaster-13(17)-enes (S and R epimers present in all samples; Fig. 11). Minor constituents observed throughout Site 31 include the C29-C31 hop-17(21)-enes, the C29-C31 17α(H),21β(H)-hopenes, C27 22,29,30-trinor-18α-neohopane (Ts), C27 22,29,30-trinor-17α-hopane (Tm), and the 4α-methylsterols.

The abundant long-chained n-alkanes that exhibit an OEP likely derive from higher-plant leaf waxes (Figs. 10 and 11; Eglinton and Hamilton, 1967). In addition, the presence of triterpenes (identified here as either taraxerene or olean-12-ene) indicates that angiosperms contributed to the terrestrially derived organic material (Crane and Lidgard, 1989; Rullkötter et al., 1994). Further, C25-diaster-13(17)-enes are more common than the C27 and C28
diaster-13(17)-enes (Figs. 10 and 12), a pattern thought to be typical of environments with abundant higher-plant input (Huang and Meinschein, 1979). Although C29 sterols can also be produced by marine organisms (Volkman et al., 1981, 2015; Volkman, 1986), their high relative proportion here is likely reflective of terrestrial higher-plant input, consistent with the other observations for abundant terrestrially derived material (Fig. 12).

In contrast, biomarkers indicative of marine organic matter are relatively rare. Diaster-13(17)-enes derive from sterols that are produced by both terrestrial and marine eukaryotes, but the presence of C25 and C29 diasterenes suggests some of the organic matter was likely of marine origin (Volkman, 1986; Killops and Killops, 2005). Further, 4α-methylsterols are present, albeit in low concentrations, and are likely derived from marine dinoflagellates and/or diatoms (de Leeuw et al., 1983; Robinson et al., 1984; Volkman et al., 1990). The presence of steroids distinguishes these sediments from previously studied Eocene sediments from Tanzania (van Dongen et al., 2006).

There are no obvious trends among biomarkers through or within Site 31, but sampling resolution is relatively low, making it difficult to rule out high-frequency environmental or sedimentological variations that might mask general trends. Carbon preference index, OEP, and average chain length are all variable throughout the section but show no consistent trends (Fig. 11; Table 2). Similarly, relative proportions of C27–C30 diaster-13(17)-enes, which would indi-
cata a shift in organic matter sources through the section, show no obvious trends, but subtle patterns do exist among diaster-13(17)-ene/β]-hopane ratios, which are commonly used to infer shifts between marine and terrestrial sources of organic matter (with higher values associated with a greater proportion of more marine organic matter and vice versa; Fig. 11; Table 2; e.g., Peters and Moldowan, 1993; Peters et al., 2005). Diaster-13(17)-ene/β]-hopane ratios are relatively high below 40 m, whereas ratios are low at the top and bottom of the section as well as between 82 and 93 m. Similar subtle shifts occur in the proportions of diaster-13(17)-enes at the same depths, and collectively these variations could indicate a progressive decrease in the proportion of marine relative to terrestrial organic matter (Fig. 11).

Consistent with excellent preservation among microfossils, the biomarkers are quite immature. The most abundant hopanes present throughout Site 31 are C_{29}−C_{32} β]-hopanes. The primary 17β(H),21β(H) isomerization indicates very low thermal maturity (Peters and Moldowan, 1993; Killlops and Killlops, 2005). The predominance of diasterenes is also useful in constraining the diagenetic history of the site, as the transformation from sterenes to diasterenes generally occurs when there is extensive clay-catalyzed rearrangement during early diagenesis (Marynowski et al., 2007).

**Sedimentological Observations**

Sedimentary fabrics lack any indicators of wave activity, and there is a general lack of evidence for traction and/or gravity transport, observations consistent with an offshore, low-energy depositional environment (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2010, 2012, 2015). The most common sedimentary structures present are thinly bedded to laminated intervals and occasional evidence of soft-sediment deformation (Fig. 3).

The scarcity of sedimentary structures indicating event deposits, coupled with preservation of laminae and subtle bedding contacts, indicates a general lack of deep bioturbation throughout most of the section and relatively high sedimentation rates. To have such conditions at outer-shelf depths suggests that the sediments were not delivered directly to the seafloor via a fluvial system but rather settled from a turbid water column (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012, 2015). Because planktic foraminiferal assemblages indicate nutrient-poor surface waters, and such waters tend to be clear, we suggest that a majority of the terrigenous material was transported in subsurface flows (Fig. 9). A similar combination of traits associated with open-ocean and nearshore conditions was invoked to explain excellent preservation of nannofossils in Eocene–Oligocene sediments (Dunkley Jones et al., 2009). Given the available data, it is difficult to determine how much, if any, terrestrial material may have been delivered to the system via eolian inputs.

Grain size is generally fine and ranges from clay- to silt-sized particles, with minor fine quartz sand as well as sand-size foraminiferal tests (Fig. DR4 [see footnote 1]; Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012; Wendler et al., 2016). The scarcity of marine organic carbon, despite common marine microfossils and excellent organic matter preservation, suggests marine organic matter was efficiently recycled in the water column and/or at the seafloor. If this was the case, then less labile, terrestrial organic matter would have been the only organic carbon that would have survived below the sediment-water interface. Minimal burrowing combined with fine grain size would reduce permeability and have limited oxygen and sulfate recharge of pore waters. These conditions, in turn, would limit bacterial degradation of the refractory terrestrial organic matter. Quantitative grain-size data from Wendler et al. (2016) are presented in Sup-

**DISCUSSION OF DEPOSITIONAL SETTING**

Deposition at Site 31 was dominated by largely decoupled terrestrial and marine inputs. Fine grain sizes and shallow burial—traditionally invoked explanations for excellent microfossil preservation—are present throughout, but preservation is not consistently excellent. We argue that changes in sediment column conditions were critically important for promoting excellent preservation in some intervals but not others. By suggesting decoupled terrestrial and marine inputs, we mean many observations indicate either a dominantly terrestrial signature or a dominantly marine signature with little evidence of mixing between the two. Further, inputs from marginal marine or shallow-water environments appear to have been minor. Evidence for a strong influence of terrestrial sources that were minimally affected by marine processes includes excellently preserved organic matter showing common terrestrial biomarkers but few marine indicators, palynomorph assemblages dominated by spores and pollen, and high sedimentation rates (several cm/1000 yr;
MacLeod et al., 2013). In contrast, calcareous microfossils suggest a relatively deep, open-ocean environment with oligotrophic surface-water conditions overlying a seafloor with a diverse assemblage of outer-shelf to upper-slope benthic foraminifera (Wendler et al., 2016; Huber et al., 2017). Reworked shallow-water or estuarine taxa are absent. A general lack of sedimentary structures indicating current reworking or large-scale downslope transport is consistent with a hemipelagic style of deposition without modification by coastal, shallow-water or deep-basin processes (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2010, 2012, 2015).

During the deposition of the lower 86 m of the Turonian sediments recovered from TDP Site 31, there seems to have been low levels of infaunal biological activity. Although benthic foraminifera are common and include both epifaunal and infaunal taxa (Wendler et al., 2013, 2016), indicating ample food supply and a normally oxygenated seafloor, bioturbation intensity was low and, in most intervals, absent at the macroscopic scale. Throughout the section, discrete burrows are rare to absent, and subtle bedding contacts and laminations are often preserved (Fig. 3; Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012). Similarly, preservation of coccospheres in Paleogene-aged sections from Tanzania has been associated with very low levels of bioturbation (Bowen et al., 2014). Fine grain sizes could have limited permeability in the sediment column, and, especially in the absence of extensive burrowing, high sedimentation rates would have resulted in both a relatively short residence time of sediments in the biologically active layer and a small exchange between pore and bottom waters. Consistent with this interpretation, sulfur isotopes of pyrite are variable and are often high in the interval with excellent preservation (Figs. 8 and 9). These high δ34S values suggest pore waters were effectively isolated from the seawater sulfate reservoir. Rapid burial and low biological activity within the subsurface (limited by oxygen and sulfate availability) would mean calcareous and organic microfossils were buried quickly in low-permeability sediments where pore waters had not evolved far from seawater conditions in variables like pH, alkalinity, and temperature that might have driven alteration of calcareous microfossils and terrigenous organic matter.

In the surface waters above Site 31, a diverse open-ocean planktic community thrived and created a sufficiently high flux of shells to the seafloor for foraminifera and nanofossils to be present in moderate abundances in most samples despite high sedimentation rates. The presence of a typical open-ocean benthic foraminiferal community (Wendler et al., 2016) suggests a high flux of sinking marine organic matter consistent with the abundance and diversity of planktic microfossils. However, based on the scarcity of marine biomarkers and the lack of traces that would indicate a thriving community of both marine and sediment-mining detritivores, we suggest this marine-sourced organic matter was almost completely recycled in the water column and/or near the seafloor, a scenario that fits well with evidence for enhanced rates of organic matter remineralization during periods with high temperatures such as that proposed for the Eocene (John et al., 2013). Degradation of the marine organic matter that reached the seafloor may have depleted pore waters of O2 and SO42− through aerobic and anaerobic microbial activities close to the sediment-water interface. Terrestrial organic matter preferentially survived remineralization, likely due to its composition and/or because much of it was adsorbed onto fine terrigenous grains, making it less available (Blair and Aller, 2012). If levels of biological activity in the subsurface were low, and there was little circulation of pore waters, pore-water chemistry would not change greatly with depth; thus, carbonate dissolution, recrystallization, and reprecipitation would be minor. Generally high bulk δ13C values in the intervals of best preservation (i.e., interval I; Fig. 8) are consistent with minimal formation of diagenetic 13C-depleted carbonate. The final contributor that led to the high quality of preservation of the minimally altered terrestrial organic matter and calcareous microfossils was a lack of deep burial and lack of exposure to high temperatures and pressures, as attested by the low thermal maturity of organic matter.

Consistent with our model invoking a bimodal (terrestrial and offshore marine) sediment budget, the isotopic values in binal planktic foraminifera and the relatively high proportion of small morphotypes of several trochosphir planktic species suggest that Site 31 was close to marginal marine environments, despite the dominantly open-ocean character of the calcareous microfossil assemblages. Low δ18O values among binal taxa might be interpreted as indicating preference of these taxa for the warmest (shallowest) surface waters and/or population peaks during the warmest seasons (MacLeod et al., 2013; Wendler et al., 2013). However, relatively low δ18O values among binal taxa are difficult to fit into a simple depth-stratification model because warm-seasens season-surface-water dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) should have high δ13C values (e.g., Spero, 1992; Haynes et al., 2015).

Disequilibrium fractionation has been proposed to explain anomalous δ13C values in binal taxa (e.g., Abramovich et al., 2003; Wendler et al., 2013, 2016). Disequilibrium in Tanzanian specimens would presumably need to result from low-δ13C metabolic CO2 being used during test formation because kinetic fractionation during hypothetical rapid growth (also proposed to explain binal foraminiferal isotopic values) should produce positively correlated δ13C and δ18O values (e.g., McConnaughey, 1989a, 1989b), which we do not observe (Fig. 13). An alternative model, which we prefer, is that the binal taxa precipitated their tests in approximate equilibrium with local seawater but were not living in the same waters as the other planktic foraminifera. If the binal taxa analyzed had a preference for living in coastal waters (Leckie, 1987) but episodically reached the seafloor at Site 31 due to seasonal or interannual migration of water mass boundaries or due to transport during or after extreme weather events, their shell chemistry might reflect a contribution of both low δ13C and low δ18O of freshwater runoff, and they would plot in a separate field from planktic foraminifera living in oligotrophic, offshore water masses.

For terrigenous material, including terrestrial palynomorphs and higher-plankt planktic taxa, we suggest transport either via discrete, hyperpycnal plumes moving under clear, nutrient-poor surface waters, or as a more general and deeper turbid wedge in which the upper boundary sloped downward in the oceanward direction under clear, nutrient-poor surface waters (Fig. 9). The limited number of massive sandstone beds present in Site 31 and in other Turonian sediments cored in Tanzania could have been deposited when turbid waters transported a higher fraction of sand-sized grains offshore.

Hot dry conditions inferred from pollen and spores could have enhanced offshore flows. High evaporation during dry seasons might have produced shelfal waters that were saline enough to carry a suspended load delivered by fluvial processes offshore as density cascades (Wilson and Roberts, 1995). Alternatively, turbid fluvial waters mixed with coastal waters and/or fluvial sediments resuspended by storm waves may have been prevalent and moved significant sediment offshore as midwater plumes, which could have also evolved into a turbid wedge basinward. The route any midwater and/or deeper turbid wedge traveled would have been influenced by shoreline-parallel currents. Regardless of uncertainty concerning specific transport geometry, the general lack of structures indicative of traction currents and/or gravity flows seems to rule out bottom current and bed-load transport as important processes for moving sediments to Site 31 (Fig. 9).
The differences among sites suggest that Sites 22 and 36 were generally closer to terrigenous sources than Site 31. However, considering inferred pelagic/hemipelagic, outer-shelf to upper-slope depositional regimes for all sites, the distances between sites are too small for variations to be reasonably explained purely by distance from the coastline. This paradox is resolved by the turbid wedge/hyperpycnal flow models, because depth and/or perpendicular distance to the coast are not the most important variables. That is, if subsurface flows had lateral variability not simply related to the orthogonal distance to the coast, differences in the distance from the axis of flow could result in measurable differences in grain size and sediment character among otherwise very similar sites. If so, changes through a section might reflect local changes in sediment routing (e.g., channel avulsion in nearby river systems, offshore bathymetric changes, or geostrophic currents). Alternatively, regional changes in hydrology (e.g., increased runoff during humid phases or shifts in ocean currents), or global events (e.g., eustatic sea-level changes or climatic shifts affecting circulation with local effects) could also be invoked to explain stratigraphic changes in the sediments at Site 31.

The decline in the quality of preservation in the upper part of the section at Site 31 that persists into the early Campanian at other sites in the region, coupled with increased grain size (Wendler et al., 2016), higher abundances of biserial planktic foraminifera (Haynes et al., 2015), calcareous nannofossil species turnover (Huber et al., 2017), and decreases in δ13C and δ18O values (Jiménez Berrocoso et al., 2012; MacLeod et al., 2013) could reflect a variety of changes that increased subsurface biological activity and changes in diagenetic conditions. The abruptness of these shifts may be an artifact of a short hiatus suggested by nannofossil distributions, but the ultimate cause for the change in sediment character—the shift to a depositional environment where there was improved connectivity between pore waters and seawater—seems to be the best explanation for the temporary (latest Turonian–early Campanian) closure of the lagerstätte taphonomic window in samples from the Lindi region, even though dominant lithologies remained fine (clayey siltstones), and burial history was unchanged.

CONCLUSIONS

Low biological activity in the sediment column seems to be the most important proximate factor controlling where excellent preservation occurred throughout much of the Turonian sedimentary sequence in Tanzania. Low biological
activity is indicated by scarcity of bioturbation and generally high $\delta^{34}$S values in pyrite in the interval with the best preservation, suggesting rapid depletion of pore-water sulfate after burial, thus limiting bacterial sulfate reduction and associated organic matter remineralization. This limitation, in turn, led to burial of microfossils in contact with pore waters that had not evolved greatly away from conditions in contemporay bottom waters with regard to several variables important for carbonate stability (e.g., pH, alkalinity, temperature). Factors that likely promoted these burial conditions include high depositional rates of fine-grained sediments (leading to rapid burial and low permeability, isolating pore waters from seawater, and preventing oxidation of palynomorphs) and near-complete recycling of marine organic matter (as indicated by a scarcity of marine biomarkers), leaving only relatively unreactive terrestrial organic matter in the sediment column. Close proximity between terrestrial inputs and the open-ocean environment along the Tanzanian palæomargin was likely needed to permit a high flux of terrigenous material to the seafloor below mostly oligotrophic surface waters that were productive enough to be the source of a reasonably abundant, diverse calcareous microfossil assemblage. Finally, shallow burial depth was an important contributing factor, as burial temperatures were never high enough to greatly alter the remaining organic matter or lead to significant abiotic recrystallization of carbonates.

We propose that the shift to more poorly preserved calcareous microfossils in the mid–late Turonian resulted from an increase in biological activity within the sediment column, particularly increased microbial sulfate reduction leading to higher remineralization of sedimentary organic matter, as well as carbonate dissolution and reprecipitation. In the upper portion of the section in which quality of preservation declines, $\delta^{34}$S decreases (suggesting relatively open-system behavior of pore-water sulfate), organic carbon content decreases, and the $\delta^{13}$C of bulk carbonate decreases (consistent with remineralized or- ganic carbon being incorporated into secondary carbonate).

Figure 14. Tanzania Drilling Project (TDP) Site 36 palynomorph distribution. (A) Percent terrestrial palynomorphs relative to total palynomorphs assemblage. (B) Concentration of major palynomorph genera per gram of dried sediment. Abbreviations are as follows: H.—Helvetoglobotruncana, e. Tur.—early Turonian, W. arc.—Whiteinella archaeocretacea, indet.—indeterminate age, Pteri.—pteridophytes, Bryo.—bryophytes.
Haynes et al.
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